

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

9 * * *
10 MIGUEL ORTIZ,)
11 Plaintiff(s),) 2:16-cv-00825-JCM-NJK
12 vs.) **ORDER**
13 PACIFIC UNION FINANCIAL,)
14 Defendant(s).)

15 Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated proposed discovery plan and scheduling
16 order. Docket No. 24. The parties request a discovery period that is approximately 60 days longer
17 than the presumptively reasonable period set forth in Local Rule 26-(b)(1) because of a pending
18 motion to dismiss. *Id.* at 4. The mere pendency of a dispositive motion does not delay the parties'
19 discovery obligations. *Cf. Little v. City of Seattle*, 863 F.2d 681, 685 (9th Cir. 1988).

20 Accordingly, the parties' stipulated proposed discovery plan and scheduling order, Docket
21 No. 24, is hereby **DENIED**. The parties shall submit a stipulated proposed discovery plan and
22 scheduling order that complies with the Local Rules, no later than April 19, 2017.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: April 12, 2017.

25 
26 NANCY J. KOPPE
27 United States Magistrate Judge
28